Application No. Applicant(s) 10/025,905 HO MOON, KYO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Samuel A Gebremariam 2811 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Samuel A Gebremariam. (3)Eric Nuss. (2) Eddie Lee. (4) Rebecca Godman Rudich. Date of Interview: 20 May 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant argued that none of the combined references of Sakamoto, prior art and Brandli singly or in combination teach the claimed process of simultaneously dry etching the organic insulating film and the inorganic insulating film using a mixed ratio gas that etches the organic insulating film faster than the inorganic insulating film. This argument as well as any additional arguments will be fully considered in the response to the office action.</u>

Identification of prior art discussed: Sakamoto, admitted prior art and Brandli.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an-Attachment to a signed Office action.

If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Examiner's signature, if required